View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

brought to you by CORE

provided by Springer - Publisher Connector

Qian *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (2015) 2015:213
DOI 10.1186/s13663-015-0452-9

Fixed Point Theory and Applications
a SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH

Open Access

Strong convergence theorem for totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings under relaxed conditions

Shanguang Qian*

*Correspondence:
qiansg1975@126.com
School of Land Resources Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, Yunnan, P.R. China
Architectural Engineering Faculty, Kunming Metallurgy College, Kunming, Yunnan, P.R. China

Abstract

We construct a relaxed hybrid shrinking iteration algorithm for approximating common fixed points of a countable family of totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings. A strong convergence theorem for solving generalized mixed equilibrium problems is established in the framework of Banach spaces under relaxed conditions. Since there is no need to impose a uniformity assumption on the involved mappings and no need to compute complex series in the iteration process, the results improve those of the authors with related interests.

MSC: 47J06; 47J25

Keywords: strong convergence; generalized mixed equilibrium problems; totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we assume that E is a real Banach space with its dual E^*, C is a nonempty closed convex subset of E and $J : E \to 2^{E^*}$ is the *normalized duality mapping* defined by

$$Jx = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f\rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\}, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

In the sequel, we use $F(T)$ to denote the set of fixed points of a mapping T.

Definition 1.1 [1] (1) A multi-valued mapping $T : C \to 2^C$ is said to be *totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive*, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exist nonnegative real sequences $\{\nu_n\}$, $\{\mu_n\}$ with $\nu_n, \mu_n \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$) and a strictly increasing continuous function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ with $\zeta(0) = 0$ such that

$$\phi(p, w_n) \le \phi(p, x) + \nu_n \zeta\big(\phi(p, x)\big) + \mu_n, \quad \forall n \ge 1, x \in C, w \in T^n x, p \in F(T), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ denotes the *Lyapunov functional* defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy\rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E. \tag{1.2}$$

Springer

© 2015 Qian. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

It is obvious from the definition of ϕ that

$$\big(\|x\| - \|y\|\big)^2 \le \phi(x,y) \le \big(\|x\| + \|y\|\big)^2 \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\phi\big(x, J^{-1}\big(\lambda Jy + (1-\lambda)Jz\big)\big) \le \lambda\phi(x,y) + (1-\lambda)\phi(x,z), \quad \forall x,y \in E, \lambda \in [0,1]. \tag{1.4}$$

(2) A countable family of multi-valued mappings $\{T_i\}: C \to C$ said to be *uniformly totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive*, if $F := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and there exist nonnegative real sequences $\{\nu_n\}$, $\{\mu_n\}$ with $\nu_n, \mu_n \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$) and a strictly increasing continuous function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ with $\zeta(0) = 0$ such that

$$\phi(p, w_{n,i}) \le \phi(p,x) + \nu_n \zeta\big(\phi(p,x)\big) + \mu_n, \quad \forall n \ge 1, w_{n,i} \in T_i^n x, i \ge 1, x \in C, p \in F. \tag{1.5}$$

(3) A totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mapping $T : C \to 2^C$ is said to be *uniformly L-Lipschitz continuous*, if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|w_n - s_n\| \le L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \ge 1, x, y \in C, w_n \in T^n x, s_n \in T^n y. \tag{1.6}$$

Let $\theta : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction, $\psi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ a real valued function and $A : C \to E^*$ a nonlinear mapping. The so-called *generalized mixed equilibrium problem GMEP* is to find an $u \in C$ such that

$$\theta(u,y) + \langle Au, y-u\rangle + \psi(y) - \psi(u) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \tag{1.7}$$

whose set of solutions is denoted by Ω.

In 2012, Chang *et al.* [1] used the following hybrid shrinking iteration algorithm finding a common element of the set of solutions for a GMEP, the set of solutions for variational inequality problems, and the set of common fixed points for a countable family of multi-valued total quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in a real uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with Kadec-Klee property:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C; \quad C_0 = C, \\ y_n = J^{-1}[\alpha_n Jx_n + (1-\alpha_n)Jz_n], \\ z_n = J^{-1}[\beta_{n,0}Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} Jw_{n,i}], \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that } \forall y \in C, \\ \theta(u_n,y) + \langle Au_n, y-u_n\rangle + \psi(y) - \psi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y-u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n\rangle \ge 0, \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v,u_n) \le \phi(v,x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \ge 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

where $\{T_i\} : C \to 2^C$ is a countable family of closed and *uniformly* totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings; $w_{n,i} \in T_i^n x_n$, $\forall n \ge 1$, $i \ge 1$, $\xi_n := \nu_n \sup_{p\in F} \zeta(\phi(p,x_n)) + \mu_n$, $\Pi_{C_{n+1}}$ is the *generalized projection* (see (2.1)) of E onto C_{n+1}. Their results not only generalized the corresponding results of [2–19] from single-valued mappings to multi-valued mappings, but they also improved and extended the main results of Homaeipour and Razani [20].

However, it is obviously a quite strong condition that the involved multi-valued mappings are assumed to be uniformly $(\{\nu_n\},\{\mu_n\},\zeta)$-totally quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive. In addition, the accurate computation of the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\beta_{n,i}Jw_{n,i}$ at each step of the iteration process is not easily attainable, which leads to gradually increasing errors.

Inspired and motivated by the study mentioned above, in this paper, we use a relaxed hybrid iteration algorithm for approximating common fixed points of a countable family of multi-valued totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and obtain a strong convergence theorem under some suitable conditions. The results improve those of Chang *et al.* [1].

2 Preliminaries

We say that a Banach space E is *strictly convex* if the following implication holds for $x, y \in E$:

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \quad x \neq y \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| < 1. \tag{2.1}$$

E is also said to be *uniformly convex* if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \quad \|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1-\delta. \tag{2.2}$$

It is well known that if E is a uniformly convex Banach space, then E is reflexive and strictly convex. A Banach space E is said to be *smooth* if

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\|x+ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{2.3}$$

exists for each $x, y \in S(E) := \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. E is said to be *uniformly smooth* if the limit (2.3) is attained uniformly for $x, y \in S(E)$.

Following Alber [21], the *generalized projection* $\Pi_C : E \to C$ is defined by

$$\Pi_C = \arg \inf_{y\in C} \phi(y,x), \quad \forall x \in E. \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.1 [21] *Let E be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Then the following conclusions hold*:

(1) $\phi(x,\Pi_C y) + \phi(\Pi_C y, y) \leq \phi(x,y)$ *for all* $x \in C$ *and* $y \in E$;

(2) *If* $x \in E$ *and* $z \in C$, *then* $z = \Pi_C x \Leftrightarrow \langle z-y, Jx - Jz\rangle \geq 0$, $\forall y \in C$;

(3) *For* $x, y \in E$, $\phi(x,y) = 0$ *if and only if* $x = y$.

Remark 2.2 The following basic properties for a Banach space E can be found in Cioranescu [22].

(i) If E is uniformly smooth, then J is uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of E;

(ii) If E is reflexive and strictly convex, then J^{-1} is norm-weak-continuous;

(iii) If E is a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then the normalized duality mapping $J : E \to 2^{E^*}$ is single valued, one-to-one and onto;

(iv) A Banach space E is uniformly smooth if and only if E^* is uniformly convex;

(v) Each uniformly convex Banach space E has the *Kadec-Klee property*, *i.e.*, for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E$, if $x_n \rightharpoonup x \in E$ and $\|x_n\| \to \|x\|$, then $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.3 [6] *Let E be a real uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with Kadec-Klee property, and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let* $\{x_n\}$ *and* $\{y_n\}$ *be two sequences in C such that* $x_n \to p$ *and* $\phi(x_n, y_n) \to 0$, *where* ϕ *is the function defined by* (1.2), *then* $y_n \to p$.

Lemma 2.4 [1] *Let E and C be the same as in Lemma* 2.3. *Let* $T : C \to C$ *be a closed and totally quasi-*ϕ*-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings with nonnegative real sequences* $\{\nu_n\}$, $\{\mu_n\}$ *and a strictly increasing continuous function* $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ *such that* $\nu_n, \mu_n \to 0$ *and* $\zeta(0) = 0$. *If* $\mu_1 = 0$, *then the fixed point set* $F(T)$ *of T is a closed and convex subset of C.*

Lemma 2.5 [6] *Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space and let* $B_r(0)$ *be the closed ball of E with center at the origin and radius* $r > 0$. *Then for any for any sequence* $\{x_i\} \subset B_r(0)$ *and for any sequence* $\{\lambda_i\}$ *of positive numbers with* $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = 1$, *there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function* $g : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *with* $g(0) = 0$ *such that such that for any positive integer* $i \neq 1$, *the following hold*:

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \|x_i\|^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_i g\bigl(\|x_1 - x_i\|\bigr) \tag{2.5}$$

and, for all $x \in E$,

$$\phi\left(x, J^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i J x_i\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \phi(x, x_i) - \lambda_1 \lambda_i g\bigl(\|Jx_1 - Jx_i\|\bigr). \tag{2.6}$$

Assume that, to obtain the solution of GMEP, the function $\psi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and lower semi-continuous, the nonlinear mapping $A : C \to E^*$ is continuous and monotone, and the bifunction $\theta : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(A_1) $\theta(x, x) = 0$;
(A_2) θ is monotone, *i.e.*, $\theta(x, y) + \theta(y, x) \leq 0$;
(A_3) $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \theta(x + t(z - x), y) \leq \theta(x, y)$;
(A_4) the mapping $y \mapsto \theta(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Lemma 2.6 [16] *Let E be a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space, and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let* $A : C \to E^*$ *be a continuous and monotone mapping,* $\psi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ *a lower semi-continuous and convex function, and* $\theta : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ *a bifunction satisfying the conditions* (A_1)-(A_4). *Let* $r > 0$ *and* $x \in E$. *Then the following hold*:

(1) *There exists an* $u \in C$ *such that*

$$\theta(u, y) + \langle Au, y - u\rangle + \psi(y) - \psi(u) + \frac{1}{r}\langle y - u, Ju - Jx\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

(2) *A mapping* $\kappa_r : C \to C$ *is defined by*

$$\kappa_r(x) = \left\{u \in C : \theta(u, y) + \langle Au, y - u\rangle + \psi(y) - \psi(u) + \frac{1}{r}\langle y - u, Ju - Jx\rangle \geq 0\right\}.$$

Then the mapping κ_r has the following properties:

(i) κ_r *is single-valued*;

(ii) κ_r *a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, i.e.*,

$$\langle \kappa_r z - \kappa_r y, J\kappa_r z - J\kappa_r y\rangle \leq \langle \kappa_r z - \kappa_r y, Jz - Jy\rangle;$$

(iii) $F(\kappa_r) = \Omega = \tilde{F}(\kappa_r)$;

(iv) Ω *is a closed convex set of* C;

(v) $\phi(p, \kappa_r z) + \phi(\kappa_r z, z) \leq \phi(p, z)$, $\forall p \in F(\kappa_r)$, $z \in E$,

where $\tilde{F}(\kappa_r)$ *denotes the set of asymptotic fixed points of* κ_r, *i.e.*,

$$\tilde{F}(\kappa_r) := \bigl\{x \in C : \exists\{x_n\} \subset C, s.t., x_n \rightharpoonup x, \|x_n - \kappa_r x_n\| \to 0\ (n \to \infty)\bigr\}.$$

Lemma 2.7 [23] *The unique solutions to the positive integer equation*

$$n = i_n + \frac{(m_n - 1)m_n}{2}, \qquad m_n \geq i_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{2.7}$$

are

$$i_n = n - \frac{(m_n - 1)m_n}{2}, \qquad m_n = -\left[\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{4}}\right], \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{2.8}$$

where $[x]$ *denotes the maximal integer that is not larger than* x.

3 Main results

Recall that a multi-valued mapping $T : C \to 2^C$ is said to be closed, if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset C$ with $x_n \to x$ and $w_n \in Tx_n$ with $w_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$, then $y \in Tx$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let E be a real uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with Kadec-Klee property and C a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $\theta : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying the conditions* (A_1)-(A_4), *$A : C \to E^*$ a continuous and monotone mapping, and $\psi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ a lower semi-continuous and convex function. Let $\{T_i\} : C \to 2^C$ be a countable family of closed and totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings with nonnegative real sequences $\{\nu_n^{(i)}\}$, $\{\mu_n^{(i)}\}$ satisfying $\nu_n^{(i)} \to 0$ and $\mu_n^{(i)} \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$ and for each $i \geq 1$) and a strictly increasing and continuous function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ satisfying condition* (1.1) *and each T_i is uniformly L_i-Lipschitz continuous with $\mu_1^{(i)} = 0$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}$ be a sequence in* $[0, 1)$ *and $\{\beta_i\}$ be a sequence in* $(0, 1)$. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C; \quad C_1 = C, \\ y_n = J^{-1}[\alpha_{i_n} Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_{i_n})Jz_n], \\ z_n = J^{-1}[\beta_{i_n} Jx_n + (1 - \beta_{i_n})Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}], \\ u_n \in C \textit{ such that } \forall y \in C, \\ \theta(u_n, y) + \langle Au_n, y - u_n\rangle + \psi(y) - \psi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n\rangle \geq 0, \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v, u_n) \leq \phi(v, x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $w_{m_n}^{(i_n)} \in T_{i_n}^{m_n} x_n$, $\forall n \geq 1$, $\xi_n := \nu_{m_n}^{(i_n)} \sup_{p\in F} \zeta_{i_n}(\phi(p,x_n)) + \mu_{m_n}^{(i_n)}$, $\Pi_{C_{n+1}}$ *is the generalized projection of* E *onto* C_{n+1}; *and* i_n *and* m_n *are the solutions to the positive integer equation*: $n = i_n + \frac{(m_n-1)m_n}{2}$ ($m_n \geq i_n$, $n = 1,2,\ldots$), *that is, for each* $n \geq 1$, *there exist unique* i_n *and* m_n *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &i_1 = 1, \quad i_2 = 1, \quad i_3 = 2, \quad i_4 = 1, \quad i_5 = 2, \\ &i_6 = 3, \quad i_7 = 1, \quad i_8 = 2, \quad \ldots; \\ &m_1 = 1, \quad m_2 = 2, \quad m_3 = 2, \quad m_4 = 3, \quad m_5 = 3, \\ &m_6 = 3, \quad m_7 = 4, \quad m_8 = 4, \quad \ldots. \end{aligned}$$

If $G := F \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ *and* $F := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$ *is bounded, then* $\{x_n\}$ *converges strongly to* $\Pi_G x_1$.

Proof Two functions $\tau : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_r : C \to C$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau(x,y) = \theta(x,y) + \langle Ax, y-x\rangle + \psi(y) - \psi(x); \\ &\kappa_r(x) = \left\{ u \in C : \tau(u,y) + \frac{1}{r}\langle y-u, Ju - Jx\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we know that the function τ satisfies the conditions (A_1)-(A_4) and κ_r has the properties (i)-(v). Therefore, (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C; \quad C_1 = C, \\ y_n = J^{-1}[\alpha_{i_n} Jx_n + (1-\alpha_{i_n})Jz_n], \\ z_n = J^{-1}[\beta_{i_n} Jx_n + (1-\beta_{i_n})Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}], \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that } \tau(u_n,y) + \frac{1}{r_n}\langle y-u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v,u_n) \leq \phi(v,x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

We divide the proof into several steps.

(I) F and C_n ($\forall n \geq 1$) both are closed and convex subsets in C.

In fact, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that each $F(T_i)$ is a closed and convex subset of C, so is F. In addition, with C_1 (= C) being closed and convex, we may assume that C_n is closed and convex for some $n \geq 2$. In view of the definition of ϕ we have

$$C_{n+1} = \bigl\{ v \in C : \varphi(v) \leq a \bigr\} \cap C_n,$$

where $\varphi(v) = 2\langle v, Jx_n - Jy_n\rangle$ and $a = \|x_n\|^2 - \|y_n\|^2 + \xi_n$. This shows that C_{n+1} is closed and convex.

(II) G is a subset of $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$.

It is obvious that $G \subset C_1$. Suppose that $G \subset C_n$ for some $n \geq 2$. Since $u_n = \kappa_{r_n} y_n$, by Lemma 2.6, it is easily shown that κ_{r_n} is quasi-ϕ-nonexpansive. Hence, for any $p \in G \subset C_n$, it follows from (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p,u_n) &= \phi(p,\kappa_{r_n} y_n) \leq \phi(p,y_n) = \phi\bigl(p, J^{-1}\bigl[\alpha_n Jx_n + (1-\alpha_n)Jx_n\bigr]\bigr) \\ &\leq \alpha_n \phi(p,x_n) + (1-\alpha_n)\phi(p,z_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that for any $p \in G \subset C_n$, $w_{m_n}^{(i_n)} \in T_{i_n}^{m_n} x_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(p, z_n) &= \phi\bigl(p, J^{-1}\bigl[\beta_{i_n} Jx_n + (1-\beta_{i_n}) Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr]\bigr) \\
&\le \beta_{i_n}\phi(p, x_n) + (1-\beta_{i_n})\phi\bigl(p, w_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr) - \beta_{i_n}(1-\beta_{i_n}) g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_n - Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr\|\bigr) \\
&\le \beta_{i_n}\phi(p, x_n) + (1-\beta_{i_n})\bigl[\phi(p, x_n) + \nu_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\zeta_{i_n}\bigl(\phi(p, x_n)\bigr) + \mu_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr] \\
&\quad - \beta_{i_n}(1-\beta_{i_n}) g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_n - Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr\|\bigr) \\
&\le \phi(p, x_n) + \nu_{m_n}^{(i_n)} \sup_{p\in F} \zeta_{i_n}\bigl(\phi(p, x_n)\bigr) + \mu_{m_n}^{(i_n)} - \beta_{i_n}(1-\beta_{i_n}) g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_n - Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr\|\bigr) \\
&= \phi(p, x_n) + \xi_n - \beta_{i_n}(1-\beta_{i_n}) g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_n - Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr\|\bigr).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3) and simplifying it, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(p, u_n) \le \phi(p, y_n) &\le \phi(p, x_n) + (1-\alpha_{i_n})\xi_n - (1-\alpha_{i_n})\beta_{i_n}(1-\beta_{i_n}) g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_n - Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr\|\bigr) \\
&\le \phi(p, x_n) + \xi_n - (1-\alpha_{i_n})\beta_{i_n}(1-\beta_{i_n}) g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_n - Jw_{m_n}^{(i_n)}\bigr\|\bigr) \\
&\le \phi(p, x_n) + \xi_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

This implies that $p \in C_{n+1}$, and so $G \subset C_{n+1}$.

(III) $x_n \to x^* \in C$ as $n \to \infty$.

In fact, since $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_1$, from Lemma 2.1(2) we have $\langle x_n - y, Jx_1 - Jx_n\rangle \ge 0$, $\forall y \in C_n$. Again since $F \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$, we have $\langle x_n - p, Jx_1 - Jx_n\rangle \ge 0$, $\forall p \in F$. It follows from Lemma 2.1(1) that for each $p \in F$ and for each $n \ge 1$,

$$\phi(x_n, x_1) = \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x_1, x_1) \le \phi(p, x_1) - \phi(p, x_n) \le \phi(p, x_1),$$

which implies that $\{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}$ is bounded, so is $\{x_n\}$. Since for all $n \ge 1$, $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_1$ and $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, we have $\phi(x_n, x_1) \le \phi(x_{n+1}, x_1)$. This implies that $\{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}$ is nondecreasing, hence the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) \text{ exists.}$$

Since E is reflexive, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x^* \in C$ as $i \to \infty$. Since C_n is closed and convex and $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, this implies that C_n is weakly closed and $x^* \in C_n$ for each $n \ge 1$. In view of $x_{n_i} = \Pi_{C_{n_i}} x_1$, we have

$$\phi(x_{n_i}, x_1) \le \phi\bigl(x^*, x_1\bigr), \quad \forall i \ge 1.$$

Since the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is weakly lower semi-continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{i\to\infty} \phi(x_{n_i}, x_1) &= \liminf_{i\to\infty} \bigl(\|x_{n_i}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n_i}, Jx_1\rangle + \|x_1\|^2\bigr) \\
&\ge \bigl\|x^*\bigr\|^2 - 2\bigl\langle x^*, Jx_1\bigr\rangle + \|x_1\|^2 \\
&= \phi\bigl(x^*, x_1\bigr)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\phi(x^*,x_1) \leq \liminf_{i\to\infty} \phi(x_{n_i},x_1) \leq \limsup_{i\to\infty} \phi(x_{n_i},x_1) \leq \phi(x^*,x_1).$$

This implies that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \phi(x_{n_i},x_1) = \phi(x^*,x_1)$, and so $\|x_{n_i}\| \to \|x^*\|$ as $i \to \infty$. Since $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x^*$, by virtue of *Kadec-Klee property* of E, we obtain

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} x_{n_i} = x^*.$$

Since $\{\phi(x_n,x_1)\}$ is convergent, this, together with $\lim_{i\to\infty} \phi(x_{n_i},x_1) = \phi(x^*,x_1)$, shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(x_n,x_1) = \phi(x^*,x_1)$. If there exists some subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_j} \to y$ as $j \to \infty$, then from Lemma 2.1(1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x^*,y) &= \lim_{i,j\to\infty} \phi(x_{n_i},x_{n_j}) = \lim_{i,j\to\infty} \phi(x_{n_i},\Pi_{C_{n_j}}x_1)\\ &\leq \lim_{i,j\to\infty} \big(\phi(x_{n_i},x_1) - \phi(\Pi_{C_{n_j}}x_1,x_1)\big)\\ &= \lim_{i,j\to\infty} \big(\phi(x_{n_i},x_1) - \phi(x_{n_j},x_1)\big)\\ &= \phi(x^*,x_1) - \phi(x^*,x_1) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

that is, $x^* = y$ and so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x^*. \tag{3.6}$$

(IV) x^* is a member of F.

Set $\mathcal{K}_i = \{k \geq 1 : k = i_k + \frac{(m_k-1)m_k}{2}, m_k \geq i_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for each $i \geq 1$. Note that $\nu_{m_k}^{(i_k)} = \nu_{m_k}^{(i)}$, $\mu_{m_k}^{(i_k)} = \mu_{m_k}^{(i)}$, and $\zeta_{i_k} = \zeta_i$ whenever $k \in \mathcal{K}_i$ for each $i \geq 1$. For example, by Lemma 2.7 and the definition of $\mathcal{K}_1$, we have $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{1,2,4,7,11,16,\ldots\}$ and $i_1 = i_2 = i_4 = i_7 = i_{11} = i_{16} = \cdots = 1$. Then we have

$$\xi_k = \nu_{m_k}^{(i)} \sup_{p\in F} \zeta_i\big(\phi(p,x_k)\big) + \mu_{m_k}^{(i)}, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}_i. \tag{3.7}$$

Note that $\{m_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{K}_i} = \{i, i+1, i+2, \ldots\}$, *i.e.*, $m_k \uparrow \infty$ as $\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty$. It follows from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \xi_k = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$, it follows from (3.1), (3.6), and (3.8) that

$$\phi(x_{k+1},y_k) \leq \phi(x_{k+1},x_k) + \xi_k \to 0 \tag{3.9}$$

as $\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty$. Since $x_k \to x^*$, it follows from (3.9) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i\ni k\to\infty} y_k = x^*. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that $w^{(i_k)}_{m_k} = w^{(i)}_{m_k}$, $T^{m_k}_{i_k} = T^{m_k}_i$, $\alpha_{i_k} = \alpha_i$, and $\beta_{i_k} = \beta_i$ whenever $k \in \mathcal{K}_i$ for each $i \geq 1$. From (3.5), for any $p \in F$ and $w^{(i)}_{m_k} \in T^{m_k}_i x_k$, $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}_i$, we have

$$\phi(p, y_k) \leq \phi(p, x_k) + \xi_k - (1 - \alpha_i)\beta_i(1 - \beta_i)g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_k - Jw^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\|\bigr),$$

that is,

$$(1 - \alpha_i)\beta_i(1 - \beta_i)g\bigl(\bigl\|Jx_k - Jw^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\|\bigr) \leq \phi(p, x_k) + \xi_k - \phi(p, y_k) \to 0 \quad (\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty).$$

This, together with assumption conditions imposed on the sequence $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$, shows that $\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} g(\|Jx_k - Jw^{(i)}_{m_k}\|) = 0$. In view of property of g, we have

$$\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} \bigl\|Jx_k - Jw^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\| = 0.$$

In addition, $Jx_k \to Jx^*$ implies that $\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} Jw^{(i)}_{m_k} = Jx^*$. From Remark 2.2(ii) it yields, as $\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty$,

$$w^{(i)}_{m_k} \rightharpoonup x^*, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.11}$$

Again, since, for each $i \geq 1$, as $\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty$,

$$\bigl|\bigl\|w^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\| - \bigl\|x^*\bigr\|\bigr| = \bigl|\bigl\|Jw^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\| - \bigl\|Jx^*\bigr\|\bigr| \leq \bigl\|Jw^{(i)}_{m_k} - Jx^*\bigr\| \to 0,$$

this, together with (3.11) and the *Kadec-Klee property* of E, shows that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} w^{(i)}_{m_k} = x^*, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.12}$$

For each $i \geq 1$, we now consider the sequence $\{s^{(i)}_{m_k}\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i}$ generated by

$$s^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}} \in T_i w^{(i)}_{m_k} \subset T^{m_{k+1}}_i x_k, \quad k \in \mathcal{K}_i, \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.13}$$

By the assumptions that for each $i \geq 1$, T_i is uniformly L_i-Lipschitz continuous. Noting again that $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i} = \{i, i+1, i+2, \ldots\}$, *i.e.*, $m_{k+1} - 1 = m_k$ for all $k \in \mathcal{K}_i$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigl\|s^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}} - w^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\| &\leq \bigl\|s^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}} - w^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}}\bigr\| + \bigl\|w^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}} - x_{k+1}\bigr\| \\ &\quad + \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + \bigl\|x_k - w^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\| \\ &\leq (L_i + 1)\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + \bigl\|w^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}} - x_{k+1}\bigr\| \\ &\quad + \bigl\|x_k - w^{(i)}_{m_k}\bigr\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.12) and $x_k \to x^*$ we have $\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} \|s^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}} - w^{(i)}_{m_k}\| = 0$ and

$$\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} s^{(i)}_{m_{k+1}} = x^*, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.15}$$

In view of the closedness of T_i, it follows from (3.12) and (3.13) that $x^* \in T_i x^*$ for each $i \geq 1$, namely $x^* \in F$.

(V) x^* is also a member of G.

Since $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1$, it follows from (3.1) and (3.6) that

$$\phi(x_{k+1}, u_k) \leq \phi(x_{k+1}, x_k) + \xi_k \to 0$$

as $\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty$. Since $x_k \to x^*$, by virtue of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} u_k = x^*. \tag{3.16}$$

This, together with (3.10), shows that $\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} \|u_k - y_k\| = 0$ and $\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} \|Ju_k - Jy_k\| = 0$. By the assumption that $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i} \subset [a, \infty)$ for some $a > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty} \frac{\|Ju_k - Jy_k\|}{r_k} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Since $\tau(u_k, y) + \frac{1}{r_k}\langle y - u_k, Ju_k - Jy_k\rangle \geq 0$, $\forall y \in C$, by condition (A_1), we have

$$\frac{1}{r_k}\langle y - u_k, Ju_k - Jy_k\rangle \geq -\tau(u_k, y) \geq \tau(y, u_k), \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.18}$$

By the assumption that the mapping $y \mapsto \tau(x, y)$ is convex and lower semi-continuous, letting $\mathcal{K}_i \ni k \to \infty$ in (3.18), from (3.16) and (3.17), we have $\tau(y, x^*) \leq 0$, $\forall y \in C$.

For any $t \in (0, 1]$ and any $y \in C$, set $y_t = ty + (1 - t)x^*$. Then $\tau(y_t, x^*) \leq 0$ since $y_t \in C$. By condition (A_1) and (A_4), we have

$$0 = \tau(y_t, y_t) \leq t\tau(y_t, y) + (1 - t)\tau\bigl(y_t, x^*\bigr) \leq t\tau(y_t, y).$$

Dividing both sides of the above equation by t, we have $\tau(y_t, y) \geq 0$, $\forall y \in C$. Letting $t \downarrow 0$, from condition (A_3), we have $\tau(x^*, y) \geq 0$, $\forall y \in C$, *i.e.*, $x^* \in \Omega$ and so $x^* \in G$.

(VI) $x^* = \Pi_G x_1$, and so $x_n \to \Pi_G x_1$ as $n \to \infty$.

Put $u = \Pi_G x_1$. Since $u \in G \subset C_n$ and $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_1$, we have $\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(u, x_1)$, $\forall n \geq 1$. Then

$$\phi\bigl(x^*, x_1\bigr) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(u, x_1), \tag{3.19}$$

which implies that $x^* = u$ since $u = \Pi_{Gx_1}$, and hence $x_n \to x^* = \Pi_F x_1$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof. □

A numerical result is given as follows.

Example 3.2 Let $E = \mathbb{R}^1$ with the standard norm $\|\cdot\| = |\cdot|$ and $C = [0, 1]$. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} : C \to 2^C$ be a sequence of multi-valued nonlinear mappings defined by

$$T_i x = \left\{ \frac{(\lambda x)^{i+1}}{i+1} : \lambda \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Consider the following iteration sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C; \quad C_1 = C, \\ y_n = J^{-1}[\alpha_n J x_n + (1-\alpha_n) J z_n], \\ z_n = J^{-1}[\beta_n J x_n + (1-\beta_n) J w_{i_n}], \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n) \le \phi(v, x_n)\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \ge 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

where $w_i := \frac{x^{i+1}}{i+1} \in T_i x$, $\{\alpha_n\} = \{\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{4n}\}$, $\{\beta_n\} = \{\frac{4}{5} - \frac{1}{2n}\}$, and $\Pi_{C_{n+1}}(x) := \arg\inf_{y \in C_{n+1}} |y - x|$. Note that $J = I$ and $\phi(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in E$ since E is a Hilbert space. Moreover, it is not difficult to obtain $C_{n+1} = [0, \frac{x_n + y_n}{2}]$ for all $n \ge 1$. Then (3.20) is reduced to

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C; \quad C_1 = C, \\ y_n = (\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{4n}) x_n + (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4n}) z_n, \\ z_n = (\frac{4}{5} - \frac{1}{2n}) x_n + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{2n}) w_{i_n}, \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : |v - y_n| \le |v - x_n|\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + y_n}{2}, \quad \forall n \ge 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

where i_n is the solution to the positive integer equation: $n = i_n + \frac{(m_n - 1)m_n}{2}$ ($m_n \ge i_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$). It is clear that $\{T_i\}$ is a sequence of closed and totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings with a common fixed point zero. It then can be shown by similar way of Theorem 3.1 that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to zero. The numerical experiment outcome obtained by using MATLAB 7.10.0.499 shows that, as $x_1 = 1$, the computations of x_{100}, x_{200}, x_{300}, and x_{400} are 0.023899039, 0.00074538945, 0.000024001481, and 0.00000078318587, respectively. This example illustrates the effectiveness of the introduced algorithm for countable families of totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive multi-valued mappings.

Competing interests
The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements
The author wishes to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and their fruitful comments and suggestions. This study is supported by the Major Science Foundation of Yunnan province education department (08Z0081).

Received: 8 January 2015 Accepted: 2 November 2015 Published online: 19 November 2015

References

1. Chang, S-s, Wang, L, Tang, Y-K, Zhao, Y-H, Ma, Z-L: Strong convergence theorems of nonlinear operator equations for countable family of multi-valued total quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with applications. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, Article ID 69 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-69
2. Chang, S-s, Joseph Lee, HW, Chan, CK, Zhang, WB: A modified Halpern-type iterative algorithm for totally quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with applications. Appl. Math. Comput. **218**, 6489-6497 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.12.019
3. Matsushita, S, Takahashi, W: A strong convergence theorem for relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. J. Approx. Theory **134**, 257-266 (2005). doi:10.1016/j.jat.2005.02.007
4. Plubtieng, S, Ungchittrakool, K: Hybrid iterative method for convex feasibility problems and fixed point problems of relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2008**, Article ID 583082 (2008). doi:10.1155/2008/58308
5. Chang, S-s, Joseph Lee, HW, Chan, CK: A block hybrid method for solving generalized equilibrium problems and convex feasibility problem. Adv. Comput. Math. (2011). doi:10.1007/s10444-011-9249-5
6. Chang, S-s, Joseph Lee, HW, Chan, CK, Yang, L: Approximation theorems for total quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with applications. Appl. Math. Comput. **218**, 2921-2931 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.08.036

7. Ceng, L-C, Guu, S-M, Hu, H-Y, Yao, J-C: Hybrid shrinking projection method for a generalized equilibrium problem, a maximal monotone operator and a countable family of relatively nonexpansive mappings. Comput. Math. Appl. **61**, 2468-2479 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2011.02.028
8. Su, YF, Xu, HK, Zhang, X: Strong convergence theorems for two countable families of weak relatively nonexpansive mappings and applications. Nonlinear Anal. **73**, 3890-3906 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.08.021
9. Ofoedu, EU, Malonza, DM: Hybrid approximation of solutions of nonlinear operator equations and application to equation of Hammerstein-type. Appl. Math. Comput. **217**, 6019-6030 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2010.12.073
10. Wang, ZM, Su, YF, Wang, DX, Dong, YC: A modified Halpern-type iteration algorithm for a family of hemi-relative nonexpansive mappings and systems of equilibrium problems in Banach spaces. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **235**, 2364-2371 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.cam.2010.10.036
11. Chang, S-s, Chan, CK, Joseph Lee, HW: Modified block iterative algorithm for quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and equilibrium problem in Banach spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. **217**, 7520-7530 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.02.060
12. Yao, YH, Liou, YC, Kang, SM: Strong convergence of an iterative algorithm on an infinite countable family of nonexpansive mappings. Appl. Math. Comput. **208**, 211-218 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2008.11.038
13. Zegeye, H, Ofoedu, EU, Shahzad, N: Convergence theorems for equilibrium problem, variational inequality problem and countably infinite relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings. Appl. Math. Comput. **216**, 3439-3449 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2010.02.054
14. Nilsrakoo, W, Saejung, S: Strong convergence theorems by Halpern-Mann iterations for relatively non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. **217**, 6577-6586 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.01.040
15. Chang, S-s, Joseph Lee, HW, Chan, CK, Liu, J: Strong convergence theorems for countable families of asymptotically relatively nonexpansive mappings with applications. Appl. Math. Comput. **218**, 3187-3198 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.08.055
16. Zhang, S-s: The generalized mixed equilibrium problem in Banach space. Appl. Math. Mech. **30**, 1105-1112 (2009). doi:10.1007/s10483-009-0904-6
17. Chang, S-s, Kim, JK, Wang, XR: Modified block iterative algorithm for solving convex feasibility problems in Banach spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. **2010**, Article ID 869684 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/869684
18. Chang, S-s, Joseph Lee, HW, Chan, CK, Yang, L: Approximation theorems for total quasi-ϕ-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with applications. Appl. Math. Comput. **218**, 2921-2931 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.08.036
19. Luchuan, C, Jenchih, Y: A hybrid iterative scheme for mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **214**, 186-201 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.cam.2007.02.022
20. Homaeipour, S, Razani, A: Weak and strong convergence theorems for relatively nonexpansive multi-valued mappings in Banach spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2011**, Article ID 73 (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-73
21. Alber, YI: Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications. In: Kartosator, AG (ed.) Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type, pp. 15-50. Dekker, New York (1996)
22. Cioranescu, I: Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1990)
23. Deng, WQ, Bai, P: An implicit iteration process for common fixed points of two infinite families of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. J. Appl. Math. **2013**, Article ID 602582 (2013)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com